

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,807	10/25/2001	Peeyush Ranjan	INFS117957	9819	
26389	7590 06/05/2002				
		OHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH A SUITE 2800		·	LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H		
SEATTLE, W	A 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3661		
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- \ f*	_			\mathcal{Q}		
- 2		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/050,807	RANJAN, PEEYU	RANJAN, PEEYUSH		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jacques H. Louis-Jacqu	es 3661			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress		
Period fo		VIC CET TO EVOIDE A	MONTU(S) EDOM			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 (October 2001 .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)□						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>66-81</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>66-69,71 and 74-78</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>70,72,73 and 79-81</u> is/are objected to).	1			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	or election requirement.				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	- , ,	•			
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examir	ner.		
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ Nane of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l Stage		
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).		
) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* ·				
Attachmen	-	- -				
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _		w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO 325 (Rev. 04 01) Application/Control Number: 10/050,807

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 66-69, 71, 74-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Becker [6,314,360].

Becker '360 discloses a process and apparatus for transmitting route information and analyzing a traffic situation network in a vehicular navigation system. According to Becker, there is provided a map including a route and roads divided into road segments. See figures 1-2. According to Becker, the process generates partial routes from the source file, wherein each partial route comprises at least one edge and at least one of the partial routes comprises a plurality of adjoining ones of said edges. See abstract. According further to Becker, traffic data is determined for each of the road segments, thereby providing traffic information on a travel route. See columns 2-3.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,807

Art Unit: 3661

3. Claims 66-69, 71, 74-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koizumi et al [5,778,333].

Koizumi et al discloses a boundary route-setting device for a map display system. According to Koizumi et al, as depicted in figures 5-7, the road is divided into a plurality of road segments. According to Koizumi et al, it is determined which road segment is having traffic congestion and whether that road segment is on the traveling route of the vehicle. Each of the road segments or location of the traffic is represented by a coordinate including longitude and latitude data. A distance of the traffic from the road segment is also determined. See columns 1-2 and 8

4. Claims 66-69, 71, 74-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suchowerskyj et al [5,438,687].

Suchowerskyj et al '687 discloses a system for selecting routerelevant information when using the radio data system (RDS). According to Suchowerskyj et al, a map of road is divided into a plurality of road segments (blocks) each having a plurality of regions or points of interests surrounding them. According further to Suchowerskyj et al, it is determined whether traffic data to be within one of the regions of interest and whether the region of interest is a long the traveling route of the vehicle. See figures 3 and 4. Each of the traffic condition information is represented by Art Unit: 3661

coordinates including longitude and latitude data. The road segments, as described in columns 2-4 and shown in figure 5, are separated by a distance.

5. Claims 66-69, 71, 74-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lappenbusch et al [6,297,748].

Lappenbusch et al discloses an interactive display and trip planner for providing traffic data along a travel route. There is provided a road map divided into a plurality of road segments. See, for example, abstract and figure 5 and column 5. As set forth in columns 6-8, it is determined whether there is traffic on a selected road segment and whether the selected road segment with the traffic data is along the travel route, thereby providing the traffic data to the user.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 70, 72-73, 79 and (80 and 81 as dependent on claims 70, 72-73 or 79) are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,270,708 Kamishima Dec. 1993

Application/Control Number: 10/050,807 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

5,610,821	Gazis et al	Mar. 1997
5,406,490	Braegas	Apr. 1995
5,818,356	Schuessler	Oct. 1998
5,635,924	Tran et al	Jun. 1997
6,111,521	Mulder et al	Aug. 2000
6,292,743	Pu et al	Sep. 2001
5,465,088	Braegas	Nov. 1995

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H. Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is (703) 305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

Jacques H. Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661

/jlj May 29, 2002